arXiv:0801.0857v1 [cs.IT] 6 Jan 2008

PERIOD-DIFFERENT m-SEQUENCES WITH AT MOST A FOUR-VALUED CROSS CORRELATION

LEI HU, XIANGYONG ZENG, NIAN LI, AND WENFENG JIANG

Abstract. In this paper, we follow the recent work of Helleseth, Kholosha, Johanssen and Ness to study the cross correlation between an m-sequence of period $2^m - 1$ and the d-decimation of an m-sequence of shorter period $2^n - 1$ for an even number $m = 2n$. Assuming that d satisfies $d(2^l + 1) = 2^i (\text{mod } 2^n - 1)$ for some l and i, we prove the cross correlation takes exactly either three or four values, depending on $\gcd(l, n)$ is equal to or larger than 1. The distribution of the correlation values is also completely determined. Our result confirms the numerical phenomenon Helleseth et al found. It is conjectured that there are no more other cases of d that give at most a four-valued cross correlation apart from the ones proved here.

1. Introduction

Sequences with good correlation properties have important applications in communication systems. The maximal period sequences (m-sequences) and their decimations are widely used to design sequence families with low-correlation [3, 4, 8, 16].

Recently, Ness and Helleseth initiated the studies on the cross correlation between an m-sequence $\{s_t\}$ of period $2^m - 1$ and the d-decimation $\{u_{dt}\}$ of an m-sequence $\{u_t\}$ with shorter period $2^n - 1$ for $m = 2n$ and d with $\gcd(d, 2^n - 1) = 1$ [12]. These two period-different sequences are exactly the m-sequences used to construct the Kasami sequence family [9, 10, 17]. They proposed the first family of decimation d that the cross correlation takes three values in [12], where n is odd and d is taken as $d = \frac{2^n+1}{3}$. They also completed a full search for all the decimation d giving at most a six-valued correlation for $m \leq 26$ [12]. Later, they found another family of $d = 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - 1$ giving a three-valued cross correlation for odd n [13]. Further, in [7], Helleseth, Kholosha, and Ness showed for a larger family of d satisfying

$$d(2^l + 1) = 2^i \,(\text{mod}\, 2^n - 1), \tag{1.1}$$

the cross correlation is three-valued, where n is odd and $\gcd(l, n) = 1$. Based on their numerical experiment, the authors in [7] conjectured that they had found all d giving a three-valued cross correlation.

For the cross correlation taking four values, Ness and Helleseth [14] proved this is the case if $d = \frac{2^{3k}+1}{2^k+1}$ and $m = 6k$. This result was very recently generalized to the case of $d = \frac{2^{kr}+1}{2^k+1}$ and $m = 2kr$ with odd r by Helleseth, Kholosha and Johanssen in [6]. As they had pointed out, there still existed some examples of four-valued cross correlation that did not fit into all the known families they had found.

In this paper, we follow above work to study the cross correlation of $\{s_t\}$ and $\{u_{dt}\}$ with the decimation d satisfying Equality (1.1) for a general case of parameters m and l, namely, without any restriction on n and l. We prove the cross correlation takes exactly either three or four values, depending on $\gcd(l, n)$ is equal to or larger than 1. The distribution of the correlation values is also completely determined.

Key words and phrases. m-sequence, cross correlation, quadratic form.

Our result theoretically confirms the numerical experiments Helleseth et al done in a unified way, that is, except some exceptional d in small $m = 8$ and 10, all d found in the experiments and giving at most a four-valued cross correlation are covered by our result. This definitely includes new classes of d that can not be explained in previous results, for instance, $d = 181$ for $m = 20$. The full numerical search of up to $m = 26$ [12] together with our result suggests one to conjecture that for $m > 10$ there are no more other d giving at most a four-valued cross correlation apart from the ones satisfying (1.1).

Our proof is mainly based on the theory of quadratic forms and on the study of a class of equations over a finite field, which is a little different from the treatments of Helleseth et al.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is preliminaries on cross correlation and quadratic forms. Section 3 determines the ranks of quadratic polynomials $\rho_a(x)$ and the enumeration of the ranks as a varies in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. In Section 4, we obtain the desired four-valued cross correlation distribution.

2. Preliminaries on Cross Correlation and Quadratic Forms

Let $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ be the finite field with 2^m elements and $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^* = \mathbf{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0\}$. For any integers m and n with $m \,|\, n$, the trace function from $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ is defined by $\mathrm{tr}_n^m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m/n-1} x^{2^{ni}}$, where x is an element in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

Let $m = 2n$ be an even integer, α be a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ and $T = 2^n+1$. Then $\beta = \alpha^T$ is a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. The m-sequence $\{s_t\}$ of period $2^m - 1$ and the m-sequence $\{u_t\}$ of shorter period $2^n - 1$ are given by

$$s_t = \mathrm{tr}_1^m(\alpha^t), \quad u_t = \mathrm{tr}_1^n(\beta^t),$$

respectively. The cross correlation at shift $0 \le \tau \le 2^n - 2$ between $\{s_t\}$ and the d decimation of $\{u_t\}$ is defined by

$$C_d(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{2^m-2} (-1)^{s_t + u_{d(t+\tau)}}.$$

In this paper, we consider the cross correlation for the decimation d satisfying

$$d(2^l + 1) = 2^i \pmod{2^n - 1} \tag{2.1}$$

for some l and i for an arbitrary n. Note that in [7], only the case for odd n and $\gcd(l, n) = 1$ is considered. Obviously, if d satisfies Equality (2.1), then both d and $2^l + 1$ are prime to $2^n - 1$. In fact, for each d satisfying (2.1), one can choose some $l < n$ such that both (2.1) and $\gcd(2^l + 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$ hold. To explain this, we need the following standard consequence of the division algorithm.

Lemma 1. *Let u and u be positive integers with $w = \gcd(u, v)$, then*

$$\gcd(2^u - 1, 2^v - 1) = 2^w - 1$$

and

$$\gcd(2^u - 1, 2^v + 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u/w \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 + 2^w, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume that Equality (2.1) holds. Write $n = 2^e n_1$ with odd n_1. By Lemma 1, 2^e divides l, and l can be written as $l = 2^e l_1$. If l_1 is even, then by Lemma 1 again, $\gcd(2^l + 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$. Otherwise, if l_1 is odd, let $l' = n - l$. Then one has $d(2^{l'} + 1) = 2^{n-l+i} \pmod{2^n - 1}$ and $\gcd(2^{l'} + 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$.

This leads we use the following notation on integers:

- $n = kr$ and $m = 2kr$ with an arbitrary integer k and odd $r \ge 3$.

- $l = ks$, where $0 < s < r$, s is even, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Note that $\gcd(2^l + 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$ by Lemma 1.
- d is a decimation satisfying $d(2^l + 1) = 2^i \pmod{2^n - 1}$ for some i.

Additionally, we let

- $N(f, \mathbf{F}_{2^u})$ denote the number of roots in a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{2^u}$ of a polynomial f.

For any function $f(x)$ from $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ to $\mathbf{F}_2$, the trace transform $\widehat{f}(\lambda)$ of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{f(x) + \mathrm{tr}_1^m(\lambda x)}, \ \ \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}.$$

$f(x)$ is called to be a quadratic form, if it can be expressed as a degree two polynomial of the form $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) = \sum\limits_{1 \le i \le j \le m} a_{ij} x_i x_j$ by using a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ over $\mathbf{F}_2$. The rank of the symmetric matrix with zero diagonal entries and a_{ij} as the (i, j) and (j, i) entries for $i \ne j$, is defined as the rank of $f(x)$. It can be calculated from the number N of $x \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ such that $B_f(x, z) := f(x + z) + f(x) + f(z) = 0$ holds for all $z \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, namely, $\mathrm{rank}(f) = m - \log_2(N)$. The $B_f(x, z)$ is called the symplectic form of $f(x)$. It is known that the distribution of trace transform values of a quadratic form is determined by its rank as the following lemma. For more details, the reader is referred to [8].

Lemma 2 ([8])**.** *The rank of any quadratic form is even. Let $f(x)$ be a quadratic form on $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ with rank $2h$, $1 \le h \le m/2$. Then its trace transform values have the following distribution:*

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \pm 2^{m-h}, & 2^{2h-1} \pm 2^{h-1} \ \text{times}, \\ 0, & 2^m - 2^{2h} \ \text{times}. \end{cases}$$

Using the trace representation of the sequences, $C_d(\tau)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} C_d(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^m-2} (-1)^{s_t + u_{d(t+\tau)}} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^m-2} (-1)^{\mathrm{tr}_1^m(\alpha^t) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(\beta^{d\tau} \alpha^{tdT})} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{\mathrm{tr}_1^m(x) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(a x^{dT})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \beta^{d\tau} \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Now making a substitution $x = y^{2^l+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_d(\tau) &= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{\mathrm{tr}_1^m(y^{2^l+1}) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(a y^{2^n+1})}. \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{\rho_a(x)} = -1 + \widehat{\rho_a}(0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\rho_a(x) = \mathrm{tr}_1^m(x^{2^l+1}) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(a x^{2^n+1}). \tag{2.3}$$

The idea of the above work handling $C_d(\tau)$ comes from Ness and Helleseth [12]. However, the observation that $\gcd(2^l + 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$ and the substitution $x = y^{2^l+1}$ is one-to-one over $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ enables us to simplify the calculation of $C_d(\tau)$, comparing with the work of [12].

3. RANKS OF QUADRATIC FORMS $\rho_a(x)$ AND THEIR ENUMERATION

In this section, we determine the ranks of the quadratic forms $\rho_a(x)$ defined by Equality (2.3), and the enumeration of the ranks when a ranges over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$.

The symplectic form of $\rho_a(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\rho_a}(x,z) &= \rho_a(x) + \rho_a(z) + \rho_a(x+z) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}_1^m(zx^{2^l} + xz^{2^l}) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(azx^{2^n} + axz^{2^n}) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}_1^m(zx^{2^l} + zx^{2^{m-l}}) + \mathrm{tr}_1^n(\mathrm{tr}_n^m(azx^{2^n})) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}_1^m(z(x^{2^l} + x^{2^{m-l}} + ax^{2^n})) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}_1^m(z^{2^l}(x^{2^{2t}} + a^{2^l}x^{2^t} + x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = n + l$.

Therefore, we consider the number of roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ of the polynomial

$$f_a(x) := x^{2^{2t}} + a^{2^l}x^{2^t} + x, \ a \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $\gcd(t, m) = k$, all these roots form an $\mathbf{F}_{2^k}$-vector space. Thus, the number of roots of $f_a(x)$ is a power of 2^k.

Denote $y = x^{2^t-1}$. We have

$$f_a(x) = x(y^{2^t+1} + a^{2^l}y + 1). \tag{3.2}$$

The nonzero roots of $f_a(x)$ are closely related to that of the polynomial

$$g_a(y) := y^{2^t+1} + a^{2^l}y + 1, \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently, to that of the roots of the polynomial

$$h_c(z) := z^{2^t+1} + cz + c, \tag{3.4}$$

which is obtained from Equation (3.3) by substituting $y = a^{-2^l}z$, then dividing by $a^{-2^l(2^t+1)}$ and letting $c = a^{2^l(2^t+1)}$. Notice that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ and $c \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ since $\gcd(2^t + 1, 2^n - 1) = 1$.

The properties about the roots of a polynomial with the form as Equation (3.4) were investigated technically in [1] and [5]. To facilitate the introduction of their results, we still use the notation $h_c(x)$ defined by Equality (3.4) in the following Lemmas 3. But notice that this lemma holds for any positive integers m and t, and $c \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

Lemma 3 (Theorem 5.4 of [1]). *Denote*

$$\Xi_m = \{\xi \in \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{2^m} \mid \ \xi^{2^t-1} = \tfrac{1}{\eta+1}, \text{ where } \eta \in \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{2^m} \text{ and } h_c(\eta) = 0 \ \}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{2^m}$ denotes the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. Let $k = \gcd(t, m)$. Then $h_c(x)$ has either 0, 1, 2 or $2^k + 1$ roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. Moreover, if $h(x)$ has exactly one root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, then $\Xi_m \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ is nonempty, and $\mathrm{tr}_k^m(\xi) \neq 0$ for any $\xi \in \Xi_m \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

Proposition 1. *For any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$, $g_a(y)$ has either 0, 2, or $2^k + 1$ nonzero roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.*

Proof. Since $g_a(y)$ has the same number of roots as $h_c(z)$ for $c = a^{2^t(2^t+1)}$, it is sufficient to prove $h_c(z)$ has either 0, 2, or $2^k + 1$ nonzero roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

By $\gcd(t, n) = k$ and Lemma 3, $h_c(z)$ has either 0, 1, 2, or $2^k + 1$ nonzero roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. Thus, we only need to prove $h_c(z)$ can not have exactly one root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

Assume that $h_c(z)$ has exactly one root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, denoted by η. Then η^{2^n} also is a root of $h_c(z)$, which implies that $\eta = \eta^{2^n}$ and then $\eta \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Thus, $h_c(z)$ has exactly one root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ too. By Lemma 3, the set $\Xi_n \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^n} \subseteq \Xi_m \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ is nonempty, and for any $\xi \in \Xi_n \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^n} \subseteq \Xi_m \cap \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, we have $\mathrm{tr}_k^m(\xi) \neq 0$, which contradicts with the fact $\mathrm{tr}_k^m(\xi) = \mathrm{tr}_k^n(\mathrm{tr}_n^m(\xi))) = \mathrm{tr}_k^n(\xi \cdot \mathrm{tr}_n^m(1)) = 0$. □

Proposition 2. *Let $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$. Then*

(1) If y_1 and y_2 are two different roots of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, then y_1y_2 is a (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$; and

(2) If there exist at least three different roots of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, then each root of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ is (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

Proof. (1) If $g_c(y_i)=0$ for $i=1$ and 2, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1y_2(y_1+y_2)^{2^t} &= y_1^{2^t+1}y_2+y_1y_2^{2^t+1} \\ &= (a^{2^l}y_1+1)y_2+(a^{2^l}y_2+1)y_1 \\ &= y_1+y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gcd(2^t-1,2^m-1)=2^k-1$, so

$$y_1y_2=(y_1+y_2)^{-(2^t-1)}$$

is a (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

(2) Let y_1, y_2 and y_3 be three different roots of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. Then by (1), all of y_1y_2, y_1y_3 and y_2y_3 are (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, and hence so are $y_1^2=(y_1y_2)(y_1y_3)/(y_2y_3)$ and y_1. This finishes the proof. □

Proposition 3. *Let $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$. Then $f_a(x)$ has exactly either 1 or 2^{2k} roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, that is, $\rho_a(x)$ has rank of m or $m-2k$. Furthermore,*

$$N(f_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=1 \Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=0 \text{ or } 2$$

and

$$N(f_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=2^{2k} \Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=2^k+1.$$

Proof. This is shown by analyzing the number of roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ of $g_a(y)$. Note that $y=x^{2^t-1}$ and $\gcd(2^m-1,2^t-1)=2^k-1$. Thus, the correspondence from $x\in\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*$ to y is (2^k-1)-to 1.

If $g_a(y)$ has exactly 2^k+1 roots y in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, then by Proposition 2(2), all its roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ are (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, and they correspond $(2^k+1)(2^k-1)=2^{2k}-1$ nonzero roots of $f_a(x)$ under the inverse of the mapping $x\mapsto y=x^{2^t-1}$. Thus $f_a(x)$ has exactly 2^{2k} roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$.

If $g_a(y)$ has exactly 2 roots $y_1,y_2\in\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, then by Proposition 2(1), y_1,y_2 are either both (2^k-1)-th powers in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ or both not (2^k-1)-th power in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. The former can not be true. If it is that case, then y_1 and y_2 would correspond total $2(2^k-1)=2^{k+1}-2$ nonzero roots of $f_a(x)$, and thus $f_a(x)$ would have exactly $2^{k+1}-1$ roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. This is a contradiction with that the number of roots is a power of 2^k. Therefore, both two roots are not (2^k-1)-th powers in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ and $f_a(x)$ has no nonzero root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. □

In the sequel, we determine how many $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ there are such that $f_a(x)$ has exactly 1 or 2^{2k} roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. Note that we encounter here two different fields $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. To this end, we first have

Proposition 4. *$N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^n})(\bmod 2)$.*

Proof. Since $g_a(y)$ is a polynomial over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$, we know that for any root $\gamma\in\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ of $g_a(y)$, its 2^n-th power γ^{2^n} is also a root of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$. If this root $\gamma\notin\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$, then $\gamma\neq\gamma^{2^n}$, and these two elements form a pair $\{\gamma,\gamma^{2^n}\}$ as roots of $g_a(y)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$, By $(\gamma^{2^n})^{2^n}=\gamma$, every two pairs of roots of this form are either just the same one or disjointed. Thus, $N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^n})(\bmod 2)$. □

To determine $N(f_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^n})$, we need the following lemma. Notice that in this lemma, integers n and t refer to any positive integers, and c refers to any element in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$.

Lemma 4 (Theorem 5.6 of [1])**.** *Let $k=\gcd(t,n)$ and $q=2^k$, then $h_c(x)$ has either 0, 1, 2 or $q+1$ roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Moreover, let N_i denote the number of $c\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ such that $h_c(x)$ has exactly i roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$, where $i=0$, 1, 2, $q+1$. If $\mu=n/k$ is odd, then*

$$N_0=\tfrac{q^{\mu+1}+q}{2(q+1)},\ \ N_1=q^{\mu-1}-1,\ \ N_2=\tfrac{(q-2)(q^{\mu}-1)}{2(q-1)},\ \ N_{q+1}=\tfrac{q^{\mu-1}-1}{q^2-1}.$$

Proposition 5. *For any $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$, the rank of $\rho_a(x)$ is m or $m-2k$. Furthermore, let R_m (R_{m-2k}, respectively) be the number of $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ such that* $\mathrm{rank}(f_a)=m$ ($\mathrm{rank}(f_a)=m-2k$, *respectively), then*

$$R_m=\frac{2^{n+2k}-2^{n+k}-2^n+1}{2^{2k}-1},\ R_{m-2k}=\frac{2^{n+k}-2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}.$$

Proof. By Propositions 1, 3 and 4, we have

$$N(f_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=1\Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=0\text{ or }2\Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^n})=0\text{ or }2 \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$N(f_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=2^{2k}\Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^m})=2^k+1\Longleftrightarrow N(g_a,\mathbf{F}_{2^n})=1\text{ or }2^k+1. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\gcd(t,n)=k$ and $\frac{n}{\gcd(t,n)}=r$ is odd, by Lemma 4, the number of roots of $h_c(z)$ is equal to either 0, 1, 2 or 2^k+1. For $i=0$, 1, 2 and 2^k+1, let N_i denotes the number of $c\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ such that $h_c(z)$ has exactly i roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Then

$$N_0=\tfrac{2^{k(r+1)}+2^k}{2(2^k+1)},\ \ N_1=2^{k(r-1)}-1,\ \ N_2=\tfrac{(2^k-2)(2^{kr}-1)}{2(2^k-1)},\ \ N_{2^k+1}=\tfrac{2^{k(r-1)}-1}{2^{2k}-1}.$$

Since $g_a(y)$ has the same number of roots as $h_c(z)$ for $c=a^{2^l(2^t+1)}\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$, and the correspondence from $c\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ to $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ is bijective by $\gcd(2^t+1,2^n-1))=1$, so there are N_i elements $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ such $g_a(y)$ has exactly i roots in $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$, which implies that there are N_0+N_2 elements $a\in\mathbf{F}_{2^n}^*$ such that $f_a(x)$ has exactly one root in $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ by Equality (3.6). Then we have

$$R_m=N_0+N_2=\tfrac{2^{k(r+1)}+2^k}{2(2^k+1)}+\tfrac{(2^k-2)(2^{kr}-1)}{2(2^k-1)}=\tfrac{2^{n+2k}-2^{n+k}-2^n+1}{2^{2k}-1},$$

and

$$R_{m-2k}=N_1+N_{2^k+1}=\tfrac{2^{n+k}-2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}.$$

□

4. Distribution of the Cross Correlation $C_d(\tau)$

Lemma 5 ([12])**.** *Let $m=2n$, then for any decimation d with* $\gcd(d,2^n-1)=1$, *the cross correlation value $C_d(\tau)$ satisfies the following relations:*

(1) $\sum\limits_{\tau=0}^{2^n-2}C_d(\tau)=1$;

(2) $\sum\limits_{\tau=0}^{2^n-2}(C_d(\tau)+1)^2=2^m(2^n-1)$;

(3) $\sum\limits_{\tau=0}^{2^n-2}(C_d(\tau)+1)^3=-2^{2m}+(\nu+3)2^{n+m}$, *where ν is the number of solutions $(x_1,x_2)\in(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*,\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*)$ of the equations*

$$\begin{cases}x_1+x_2+1=0,\\ x_1^{d(2^n+1)}+x_2^{d(2^n+1)}+1=0.\end{cases}$$

Proposition 6. *Let d be defined by Equality (2.1). Then there are 2^k-2 solutions $(x_1,x_2)\in(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*,\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*)$ of the system of equations*

$$\begin{cases} x_1+x_2+1=0, \\ x_1^{d(2^n+1)}+x_2^{d(2^n+1)}+1=0. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Suppose that $x_1,x_2\in\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*$ and let $x_1=y_1^{2^l+1}$, $x_2=y_1^{2^l+1}$. Since $\gcd(2^m-1,2^l+1)=1$, so there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $(x_1,x_2)\in(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*,\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*)$ and $(y_1,y_2)\in(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*,\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*)$. Then Equation (4.1) equivalently becomes

$$\begin{cases} y_1^{2^l+1}+y_2^{2^l+1}+1=0, \\ y_1^{d(2^l+1)(2^n+1)}+y_2^{d(2^l+1)(2^n+1)}+1=0. \end{cases}$$

Since $d(2^l+1)\equiv 2^i(\operatorname{mod} 2^n-1)$, we have

$$y_1^{d(2^l+1)(2^n+1)}+y_2^{d(2^l+1)(2^n+1)}+1=y_1^{2^i(2^n+1)}+y_2^{2^i(2^n+1)}+1=(y_1^{2^n+1}+y_2^{2^n+1}+1)^{2^i}=0.$$

Thus, the above system of equations becomes

$$\begin{cases} y_1^{2^l+1}+y_2^{2^l+1}+1=0, \\ y_1^{2^n+1}+y_2^{2^n+1}+1=0, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

which implies

$$y_1^{(2^n+1)(2^l+1)}=(y_2^{2^m+1}+1)^{2^n+1}=y_2^{(2^n+1)(2^l+1)}+y_2^{(2^l+1)2^n}+y_2^{2^l+1}+1$$

and

$$y_1^{(2^n+1)(2^l+1)}=(y_2^{2^n+1}+1)^{2^l+1}=y_2^{(2^n+1)(2^l+1)}+y_2^{(2^n+1)2^l}+y_2^{2^n+1}+1.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_2^{(2^l+1)2^n}+y_2^{2^l+1}+y_2^{(2^n+1)2^l}+y_2^{2^n+1} &= (y_2^{2^{n+l}}+y_2)(y_2^{2^n}+y_2^{2^l}) \\ &= (y_2^{2^{n+l}}+y_2)(y_2^{2^{n-l}}+y_2)^{2^l} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, y_2 belongs to $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n+l}}\bigcap\mathbf{F}_{2^m}=\mathbf{F}_{2^k}$ or $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n-l}}\bigcap\mathbf{F}_{2^m}=\mathbf{F}_{2^k}$. In either case, we always have $y_2\in\mathbf{F}_{2^k}$. Similarly, we have $y_1\in\mathbf{F}_{2^k}$. Then, Equation (4.2) becomes

$$y_1^2+y_2^2+1=0, \tag{4.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$y_1+y_2+1=0 \tag{4.4}$$

with $y_1,y_2\in\mathbf{F}_{2^k}^*$, which has exactly 2^k-2 solutions. Therefore, Equation (4.1) has 2^k-2 solutions $(x_1,x_2)\in(\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*,\mathbf{F}_{2^m}^*)$. This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 1. *The cross-correlation function $C_d(\tau)$ has the following distribution:*

$$\begin{cases} -1, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-k}-1 \text{ times}, \\ -1+2^n, & \text{occurs } \frac{(2^n+1)2^{k-1}}{2^k+1} \text{ times}, \\ -1-2^n, & \text{occurs } \frac{(2^n-1)(2^{k-1}-1)}{2^k-1} \text{ times}, \\ -1-2^{n+k}, & \text{occurs } \frac{2^{n-k}-1}{2^{2k}-1} \text{ times}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Equality (2.2), we have

$$C_d(\tau) + 1 = \widehat{\rho_a}(0).$$

From Proposition 5, the rank of ρ_a is m or $m - 2k$. By this together with Lemma 2, one can conclude that $\widehat{\rho_a}(0)$ takes values from $\{0, \pm 2^n, \pm 2^{n+k}\}$, and $C_d(\tau)$ possibly takes values -1, -1 ± 2^n, $-1 \pm 2^{n+k}$.

Suppose that $C_d(\tau)$ takes values -1, $-1+2^n$, $-1-2^n$, $-1+2^{n+k}$ and $-1-2^{n+k}$ exactly M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 and M_5 times, respectively. Obviously,

$$M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 + M_5 = 2^n - 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\widehat{\rho_a}(0)$ takes values $\pm 2^n$ if and only if the rank of $\rho_a(x)$ is m, by Proposition 5, we have

$$M_2 + M_3 = R_m = \frac{2^{n+2k} - 2^{n+k} - 2^n + 1}{2^{2k} - 1}. \tag{4.6}$$

By Lemma 5 and Proposition 6, we have

$$\begin{cases} -M_1 + (-1 + 2^n)M_2 + (-1 - 2^n)M_3 + (-1 + 2^{n+k})M_4 + (-1 - 2^{n+k})M_5 = 1, \\ 2^{2n}M_2 + 2^{2n}M_3 + 2^{2(n+k)}M_4 + 2^{2(n+k)}M_5 = 2^m(2^n - 1), \\ 2^{3n}M_2 - 2^{3n}M_3 + 2^{3(n+k)}M_4 - 2^{3(n+k)}M_5 = -2^{2m} + (2^k - 2 + 3)2^{n+m}. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

The above Equations (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) give

$$M_1 = 2^{n-k} - 1, \;\; M_2 = \tfrac{(2^n+1)2^{k-1}}{2^k+1}, \;\; M_3 = \tfrac{(2^n-1)(2^{k-1}-1)}{2^k-1}, \;\; M_4 = 0, \;\; M_5 = \tfrac{2^{n-k}-1}{2^{2k}-1}.$$

□

Remark 1. *It is easy to check that* M_1, M_2 *and* M_5 *are always nonzero and* $M_3 = 0$ *if and only if* $k = 1$. *Thus, when* $\gcd(l, n) = 1$, *the cross correlation* $C_d(\tau)$ *takes exactly three values, and when* $\gcd(l, n) > 1$, *the cross correlation takes exactly four values.*

Remark 2. *By a complete computer experiments for up to* $m = 26$, *Helleseth et al listed all decimation* d *such that* $C_d(\tau)$ *having at most a six-valued cross-correlation function in* [12]. *Their theoretical proofs in* [12, 13, 7] *completely explained all decimation* d *listed in* [12] *and giving a three-valued cross correlation, and they conjectured that the* d *satisfying* $d(2^l+1) = 2^i (\mathrm{mod}\, 2^n - 1)$ *and* $\gcd(l, n) = 1$ *are all decimations that give three-valued cross correlation.*

For the case of four-valued cross correlation, only partial classes of decimations d *are shown to have this property* [14, 6]. *The above theorem 1 can explain all decimation* d *listed in* [12] *and giving exactly a four-valued cross correlation, except an exceptional* $d = 7$ *for a small* $m = 8$ *case.*

The extensive numerical experiments of Helleseth et al (up to $m = 26$ *in* [12]*) may suggest one to conjecture, as Helleseth et al do in* [7], *that the converse of Theorem 1 holds true, that is, for* $m \geq 12$, *if* $C_d(\tau)$ *has at most a four-valued cross correlation, then there must exist some* l *and* i *such that* $d(2^l + 1) = 2^i (\mathrm{mod}\, 2^n - 1)$. *If this conjecture can be shown true, then the conjecture in* [7] *is also true. This will be an interesting open problem.*

Remark 3. *When* m *is a power of 2, there are no* d *and* l *satisfying* $d(2^l + 1) = 2^i (\mathrm{mod}\, 2^n - 1)$ *by Lemma 1. This together with the conjecture mentioned above (if true) explains why there is no* d *giving at most a four-valued cross correlation for* $m = 16$.

5. CONCLUSION

We have studied the cross correlation between an m-sequence of period $2^m - 1$ and the d-decimation of an m-sequence of period $2^n - 1$ for even $m = 2n$. We prove that if d satisfies $d(2^l + 1) = 2^i \pmod{2^n - 1}$ for some l and i, then the cross correlation takes exactly either three or four values, depending on $\gcd(l,n)$ is equal to or larger than 1. The result enriches the work of Helleseth et al. An interesting open problem is left.

REFERENCES

[1] A. W. Bluher, "On $x^{q+1}+ax+b$," Finite Fields Applic., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 285-305, July 2004.

[2] H. Dobbertin, P. Felke, T. Helleseth, and P. Rosendahl, "Niho type cross-correlation functions via Dickson polynomials and Kloosterman sums," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 613-627, Feb. 2006.

[3] S. W. Golomb and G. Gong, Signal Design for Good Correlation - For Wireless Communication, Cryptography and Radar. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005.

[4] T. Helleseth, "Some results about the cross-correlation function between two maximal linear sequences," Discrete Mathematics, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 209-232, Nov. 1976.

[5] T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, "On the equation $x^{2^l+1}+x+a=0$ over $GF(2^k)$," Finite Fields Appl. (2007), doi:10.1016/j.ffa.2007.09.009.

[6] T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, A. Johanssen, "m-sequences of different lengths with four-valued cross correlation," available online http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/0712/0712.3757v1.pdf.

[7] T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, and G. J. Ness, "Characterization of m-Sequences of lengths $2^{2k}-1$ and 2^k-1 with three-valued cross correlation," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol.53, no. 6, pp. 2236-2245, June. 2007.

[8] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, "Sequences with low correlation," in Handbook of Coding Theory, V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, Eds., Amsterdam, the Netherlands: North-Holland, vol. II, pp. 1765-1853, 1998.

[9] T. Kasami, "Weight distribution formula for some classes of cyclic codes," Coordinated Science Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Tech. Rep. R-285 (AD 637524), Apr. 1966.

[10] T. Kasami, "Weight distribution of Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem codes," in Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Applications, R. C. Bose and T. A. Dowling, Eds. Chapel Hill, NC: Univ. North Carolina Press, 1969, pp. 335-357.

[11] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, "Finite fields," in Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1983, vol. 20.

[12] G. J. Ness and T. Helleseth, "Cross correlation of m-sequences of different lengths," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1637-1648, Apr. 2006.

[13] G. J. Ness and T. Helleseth, "A new three-valued cross correlation between m-sequences of different length," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 4695-4710, Oct. 2006.

[14] G. J. Ness and T. Helleseth, "A new family of four-valued cross correlation between m-sequences of different lengths," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 4308-4313, Nov. 2007.

[15] J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch, "Bent-function sequences," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 858-864, Nov. 1982.

[16] P. Rosendahl, "Niho type cross-correlation functions and related equations," Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Univ. Turku, Turku, Finland 2004.

[17] X. Zeng, J. Q. Liu, and L. Hu, "Generalized Kasami sequences: the large set," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2587-2598 , Jul. 2007.

LEI HU AND WENFNEG JIANG ARE WITH THE STATE KEY LABORATORY OF INFORMATION SECURITY, GRADUATE UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100049, CHINA. EMAIL: HU@IS.AC.CN

XIANGYONG ZENG AND NIAN LI ARE WITH THE FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN,430062, CHINA. EMAIL: XZENG@HUBU.EDU.CN